

### **ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAYS PANEL MEETING AGENDA**

Г

Т

Date: Time: Venue:	15 <sup>th</sup> March 2021 10:00 Video Conference Call due to current covid-19 restrictions
Chairman: Panel Members:	CC Malcolm Maddocks CC June Lumley (Deputy), CC Mike Steptoe, CC Carole Weston, Cllr Brian Hazlewood, Cllr Ian Ward, Cllr Cheryl Roe, Cllr Phil Shaw, Cllr Angelina Marriott (EALC representative)
Officers:	EH Sonia Church – Highway Liaison Manager EH Dan Maclean – Highway Liaison Officer
Secretariat:	RDC Sonia Worthington – Democratic Services Officer

Page	ltem	Subject	Lead	Paper
	1	Welcome & introductions	Chairman	Verbal
	2	Apologies for absence & declarations of interest	Chairman	Verbal
2 – 7	3	Minutes of meeting held on 15 <sup>th</sup> December 2020 to be agreed as a correct record	Chairman	Verbal
	4	Matters arising from minutes of previous meeting	Chairman	Verbal
	5	Update regarding additional Capital Funding	Dan Maclean	Verbal
8 – 9	6	Report on Funded Schemes	Dan Maclean	Report 1
10 – 18	7	Report on Schemes Awaiting Funding	Dan Maclean	Report 2
	8	Any other business	All	Verbal
	9	Date of next meeting: 14 <sup>th</sup> June 2021 (TBC)	Chairman	Verbal

Any member of the public wishing to attend the Rochford Local Highways Panel (LHP) must arrange a formal invitation from the Chairman no later than 1 week prior to the meeting.
 Any public questions should be submitted to the Highway Liaison Officer no later than 1 week before the LHP meeting date; <a href="mailto:Daniel.Maclean@essexhighways.org">Daniel.Maclean@essexhighways.org</a>



### ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAYS PANEL MINUTES 15 DECEMBER 2020 AT 2.00PM

### **REMOTE MEETING**

Chairman:	Cllr M Maddocks (ECC) / Cllr Mrs J R Lumley (ECC)
Panel Members:	Cllr B T Hazlewood (RDC), Cllr Mrs C A Weston (ECC), Cllr Mrs C E Roe (RDC), Cllr Miss A Marriott (EALC representative), Cllr M J Steptoe (ECC), Cllr I H Ward (RDC) and Cllr P J Shaw (RDC).
Officers:	S Church – ECC Highways Liaison Manager (SC) D Maclean – ECC Highways Liaison Officer (DM) I Henderson – Team Leader Road Safety Engineering (IH)
Secretariat:	L Morris – RDC Democratic Services Officer

Item		Owner
1.	The Chairman welcomed all to the meeting. Cllr M Maddocks advised that Cllr Mrs J R Lumley would be chairing the meeting while Cllr M Maddocks observed due to personal issues.	
2.	No apologies for absence were received. Cllr A Marriott was not in attendance. Declarations of interest in items on the agenda: Cllrs Mrs J R Lumley, Mrs C E Roe and I H Ward declared a non- pecuniary interest by virtue of being Members of Rayleigh Town Council. Cllr M J Steptoe declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of being a Member of Barling Magna Parish Council. Cllr P J Shaw declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of being a Member of Stambridge Parish Council. Cllr B T Hazlewood declared a non-pecuniary interest by virtue of being a Member of Hockley Parish Council.	
3.	The Minutes of the meeting held on 14 September 2020 were agreed as a correct record.	
4.	<ul> <li>Matters arising from previous meeting:</li> <li>Cllr Maddocks agreed that the Hawkwell Park Drive, Hockley parking restrictions could be</li> </ul>	

	removed from the programme.	
	<ul> <li>DM provided an update on the VAS sign, Sutton Road - Beyond economical repair. A speed survey would be carried out to see if a VAS sign was still required, but this had not yet happened due to COVID-19 restrictions.</li> </ul>	
5.	IH presented two Casualty Reductions sites which had been identified for Members to consider. A1245 j/w Chelmsford Road - Junction warning signs,	
	improved road markings and vegetation clearance to improve junction visibility. IH advised that this was a low- level scheme but would hopefully treat the pattern of collisions that had been identified.	
	Hall Road j/w Ashingdon Road and West Street – Issues with drivers failing to give way due to poor visibility. Origin and destination surveys will be carried out, along with looking at speeds that road users were reaching on the approach. Housing developments further up Ashingdon Road will be taken into account when considering possible measures. Cllr Steptoe raised an issue with the overgrowth from the river blocking views and queried who would be responsible for cutting this to ensure that sight lines were kept clear. IH advised that he would look into who was responsible for cutting back the vegetation.	IH
	<b>Poynters Lane, Great Wakering -</b> Design was currently underway and will appear as deliverable scheme in 2021/22. Cllr Steptoe identified that a contributing factor to the issues was the overgrowth and that it was essential for this to be kept back.	
	<b>Downhall Road</b> – Initially identified as there was lots of activity at the junction and had additional stress placed upon it from developments in the surrounding area. However, it was decided that this would not be included as there were already discussion taking place between the development management team and developers looking to signalise this junction, therefore, work did not need to be duplicated.	
	Cllr Roe queried how she was able to get a site put forward for consideration as a CR scheme. IH explained that CR sites are identified where there is a pattern of personal injury traffic collisions.	

-		1				
	Cllr Maddocks raised a query regarding a death after an accident, and IH advised Members that if there is a fatality following an accident, this will only be recorded as a fatal collision if the deceased has passed away within 28 days of the accident occurring. If the deceased dies more than 28 days after the accident occurring, this will be classed as a serious collision. Cllr Steptoe raised a point that the coroner's report would be considered in this state. Cllr Ward queried if there was a route that could be taken to understand why the time period was set at 28 days rather than a longer time period as it had previously been, and suggested that the LHP could lobby this through Essex County Council. Cllr Maddocks suggested that this may need to be addressed through the local MP as he felt that this was likely to be a government decision.	Cllr Maddocks				
6.	Funded Schemes List 2020/21					
	DM advised that all remaining schemes in the programme should be delivered before the end of Q4.					
7.	7. Schemes Awaiting Funding					
	DM advised that there was £221,000 worth of schemes that could potentially be funded by the panel.					
	<b>Rochford Town Market Square</b> . This is a feasible scheme that the panel can choose to fund next year. This was initially due to be on the programme for this year, but due to the restrictions put in place, DM was unable to finalise this.					
	<b>Star Lane, Great Wakering – Traffic Calming</b> . This is a feasible scheme.					
	Rochford Garden Way, Rochford – Grasscrete. Funding had been requested from SEPP but never received. The panel can now consider funding this scheme in 2021/22.	DM				
	Twyford Avenue, Great Wakering – Grasscrete. As above.					
	Ashingdon Road, Rochford – Bollards. This is a feasible scheme.					
	Main Road, Hockley. This is a feasible scheme.					

<b>New Road j/w Shoebury Road, Great Wakering</b> . This is a feasible scheme. DM advised that £5,500 was listed as the estimation cost, but this may be a lot lower due to an existing sign on the road that may be able to be repurposed.	
<b>Eastwood Road j/w High Street</b> . The feasibility study could not be delivered this year due to the SEPP funding not materialising, therefore would appear as a potential scheme next year.	
<b>A127/A129 Rayleigh Weir Roundabout</b> . The feasibility study could not be delivered this year due to the SEPP funding not materialising, therefore would appear as a potential scheme next year.	
<b>Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh</b> . This is a feasible scheme, however, a pedestrian crossing is also currently being validated.	
Wakering Road, Great Wakering. This is a feasible scheme, although advised that this may not have a huge impact as the speeds are relatively low anyway.	
Anchor Lane, Canewdon. There were two options, one was to move just the village gateway to include the new development at a cost of $\pounds$ 6,500 or the 30mph speed limit could also be extended at a cost of $\pounds$ 11,000.	
Stambridge Road, Stambridge – 20mph outside Stambridge Primary School. Did not meet criteria for 20mph speed limit, however, request now been submitted for a '20's Plenty' scheme.	
Stambridge Road, Stambridge – 20mph outside Mini Marvels Pre-School. Did not meet criteria for 20mph speed limit, however, request now been submitted for a '20's Plenty' scheme.	
Little Wakering Road, Barling. Wig-Wag that was struck multiple times and had to be removed. Wig-Wag could be reinstalled but would require different footing and connection. This is a feasible scheme. Cllr Steptoe advised that this had been going back and forth for some years and highlighted the importance due to its proximity to a school.	
Coronation Close, Great Wakering – Grasscrete. In validation.	

b fe a v	Cheapside West, Rayleigh. Request for grasscrete, had been found that this would encourage parking over the ootway and instead advised that walking should be encouraged through schemes such as 3PR. Cllr Lumley advised that this was a confusing decision, as surely this would mean that all grasscrete schemes on verges would be denied.	
b r r tl s	SC advised that at the moment there were concrete collards at this location where the grasscrete had been equested and advised that this scheme had been ejected due to a footway located behind the bollards herefore, the footpath could not be blocked in order to protect the school children. SC advised that school streets could be investigated so that the road could be closed during certain periods of the day.	
	Thorpe Road, Hockley. Third party scheme. In validation.	
F	Hall Road, Rochford. In validation.	
ι	Jpway, Rayleigh. In validation.	
E	Barling Road, Great Wakering. In validation.	
С	Malting Villas Road, Rochford. Request to introduce a one-way system, this was deemed unfeasible because it was unlikely that residents would adhere to this.	
F	Fountain Lane, Hockley. In validation.	
	Stambridge Road, Stambridge – 20's Plenty. In validation.	
	Stambridge Road, Stambridge – 20's Plenty. In validation.	
C	Pedestrian Crossing – London Road, Rayleigh. Been on programme for some time, would be considered once he development was occupied.	
N	<b>Velsons Gardens, Rayleigh</b> . This is a feasible scheme.	
C fu	Ashingdon Road, Rochford. Following a couple of casualties at the site. Panel had chosen to fund this if unds were made available from SEPP, but did not eceive them. Could be considered for delivery next year.	

	<ul> <li>Anchor Lane, Canewdon. Request has been submitted, but needed to wait for a PV2 to be carried out before the site could be considered.</li> <li>Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh. In validation.</li> <li>Brinkworth Close, Hockley. In validation.</li> <li>PROW 71, Rayleigh. This is a feasible scheme that can be considered next year. Cllr Lumley queried if DM had written to both Rawreth and Rayleigh to request a contribution and was advised that DM had not yet received a response. Cllr Lumley advised that she believed he would get a response from Rayleigh Town Council following budget conversations in February.</li> <li>Anchor Lane, Canewdon – Bus Shelter. Awaiting completion of County-wide bus shelter audit before validation can be carried out. Cllr Lumley queried if there was a timescale for the completion of this audit, and was advised that this had been affected by COVID-19.</li> </ul>	DM
8.	AOB Nothing relating to the LHP was raised.	
9.	Date of Next Meeting – Monday 15 March 2021 at 10am.	

### REPORT 1 ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAY PANEL 2020/21 FUNDED SCHEMES LIST

This report provides an update on the current position of all the schemes which the Rochford Local Highway Panel has recommended for inclusion in the 2020/21 programme.

Budget summary 2020-21			
Capital Budget	£214,000		
Safer Roads Schemes	£17,500		
Estimated Value of other Commissioned Schemes	£234,250		
Remaining Budget to Allocate	£0		

Members are reminded that the costs supplied are budget allocations only and there is the possibility that a final scheme cost could change dependant on issues which may arise especially during detailed design and construction. The panel will be informed of any budget adjustments required.

#### Funded Schemes 2020-21

Total Value of	
Schemes	

£234,250

Completed Update

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Parish	Scheme Category	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Allocated Budget	Comments	Est Completion
1	Poynters Lane / Great Wakering Road - Junction Redesign	Casualty Reduction site - Review junction layout.	Rochford South	Great Wakering	Safer Roads	Design	LROC191001	£4,000		Completed
2	Spa Road / Eldon Way junction, Hockley - Pedestrian Crossing Facilities	Casualty Reduction site - Improve pedestrian crossing facilities.	Rochford West	Hockley	Safer Roads	Total scheme	LROC191002	£13,500		Completed
3	PROW 285, Hockley - Surface Improvements	Improvements to the condition of PROW 285, which runs between Sunny Road and Elizabeth Close, Hockley.	Rochford North	Hockley	Public Rights of Way	Total Scheme	LROC198001	£16,000	Supply Chain Partner is now unable to deliver scheme. PROW Team are currently trying to source an alternative.	Q4
4	The Chase, Rayleigh - Speed Table	Feasibility study to consider a speed table at the junction Warwick Road.	Rayleigh North	Rayleigh Town	Traffic Management	Feasibility	LROC192004	£4,000	Report due by 8th March 2021.	Q4
5	Eastwood Road, Rayleigh - Signalised Crossing	Implementation of previously completed design.	Rayleigh North	Rayleigh Town	Traffic Management	Implementation	LROC172088	£185,000		Completed
6	Tudor Way, Hawkwell - Bus Stop	Reprofile footway to prevent pooling.	Rochford North	Hawkwell	Walking	Implementation	LROC175003	£10,000		Completed
7	North Street, Great Wakering - HGV Restriction	Request to restrict HGVs from entering North Street at the southern end.	Rochford South	Great Wakering	Traffic Management	Total Scheme	LROC192003	£1,750		Completed

# **ROCHFORD LOCAL HIGHWAY PANEL REPORT 2 – SCHEMES AWAITING FUNDING**

The Schemes Awaiting Funding List identifies all of the requests that have been received for the consideration of the Rochford Local Highways Panel. The Panel are asked to review the schemes, start to make their funding recommendations for those schemes they wish to see delivered and also choose to remove any which they would not wish to consider for future funding.

The breakdown of scheme types available for consideration is as below:

Budget Summary	
Safer Roads	£37,000
Traffic Management	£219,500
Walking	£31,500
Public Rights of Way	£39,000
Passenger Transport	£0
Total	£327,000

Costs supplied are estimates only and there is the possibility that a final scheme cost can change significantly dependent on issues which may arise during detailed design and construction.

On the Schemes Awaiting Funding List, the RAG column acknowledges the status of the scheme request as shown below:

G	The scheme has been validated as being feasible and is available for consideration
Α	The scheme has previously been approved for a feasibility study and the results are awaited before the scheme can be fully considered
R	A scheme request has been received but is against ECC policy or there is no appropriate engineering solution
v	A scheme request has been received and is in the initial validation process

#### Safer Roads

**Total Value of schemes** 

£37,000

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
1	Hall Road / West Street / Ashingdon Road, Rochford - Traffic Surveys	Traffic surveys to determine road user origin and destination, vehicular speeds and potential collision causation, leading to feasibility study	Rochford South	Feasibility	LROC201001	£6,500		G
2	A1245 / Chelmsford Road, Battlesbridge - Visibility Improvements	Junction warning signs, road markings and vegetation clearance to improve visibility.	Rayleigh North	Total scheme	LROC201002	£10,500		G
3	Poynters Lane / Great Wakering Road - Junction Redesign	Implementation of design for stop line and VAS.	Rochford South	Implementation	LROC191001	£20,000	Implementation of stop line and signage at the junction, with VAS for approaching traffic (heading east).	

Tota	Value of	6210 500
sc	hemes	£219,500

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
1	Rochford Town Centre, Market Square Improvements	Various surveys and completion of Feasibility Study.	Rochford South	Feasibility	LROC172094	£5,000	Study could not be accurately completed due to lower than normal traffic volumes. Completion of scheme can now be reconsidered in 2021/22.	G
2	Star Lane, Great Wakering – Traffic Calming	Request to consider installing village gates/traffic calming measures.	Rochford South	Total Scheme	LROC192002	£13,000	Validation results - Prominent gateway features and additional signage could be implemented.	G
3	Rochford Garden Way, Rochford - Grasscrete	Installation of grasscrete.	Rochford South	Total Scheme	LROC162058	£28,500	Validation results - Recommendation is to install grasscrete at various points throughout Rochford Garden Way. Request submitted to SEPP to release funds.	G
4	Twyford Avenue, Great Wakering – Grasscrete	Installation of grasscrete.	Rochford South	Total Scheme	LROC152063	£23,500	Validation results - Recommendation is to install grasscrete on the eastern side / southern end of Twyford Avenue. Request submitted to SEPP to release funds.	G
5	Ashingdon Road, Rochford - Bollards	Request to install bollards on the grass verge.	Rochford South	Total scheme	LROC192005	£10,500	Validation results - Bollards could be erected along the grassed area but would displace parking issues to other locations.	G
6	Main Road, Hockley - Bollards	Request to install bollards on the edge of the footway.	Rochford North	Total Scheme	LROC192009	£12,000	Validation results - Bollards can be installed.	G
7	New Road / Shoebury Road, Great Wakering - Signing	Request to consider options for traffic calming due to a number of RTCs.	Rochford South	Total Scheme	LROC192010	£5,500	Validation results - Bend ahead warning sign can be installed.	G
8	High Street / Eastwood Road / Crown Hill, Rayleigh - Mini RAB improvements	Feasibility Study to look at possible improvements at the junctions of Eastwood Road, High Street and Crown Hill, High Street, Rayleigh, due to regularly damaged street furniture.	Rayleigh South	Feasibility	LROC182001	£4,000	SEPP funds were not received so can be considered for funding by the LHP.	G

Total Value of	£219,500
schemes	£219,500

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
9	A127 / A129 Rayleigh Weir Roundabout - Lane Signage and Markings	Feasibility Study to determine if any improvements can be made to the lane marking and road signage on the A127 / A129 Rayleigh Weir roundabout.	Rayleigh South	Feasibility	LROC182017	£4,000	SEPP funds were not received so can be considered for funding by the LHP.	G
10	Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh - 20 When Lights Flash	Request to implement a 20 When Lights Flash scheme outside of Our Lady of Ransom Catholic Primary School.	Rayleigh South	Total scheme	LROC192011	£8,000	Validation results - Existing school warning signage could be replaced with 20mph when flashing signs.	G
11	Wakering Road, Great Wakering - 40mph Speed Limit	Request to reduce the derestricted section of Wakering Road to 40mph.	Rochford South	Total scheme	LROC192013	£12,000	Validation results - A 40mph buffer zone could be implemented, although speeds are already low and as such, this scheme would have little to no impact on driver behaviour.	G
12	Anchor Lane, Canewdon - Village Gateway Repositioning	Request to move the village gateways further west along Anchor Lane.	Rochford North	Total scheme	LROC192015	£11,000	Validation results - Village gateway can be moved for approx. £6,500. If the 30mph limit was to also be moved, it would cost approx. £11,000.	G
13	Stambridge Road, Stambridge - 20mph outside Stambridge Primary School	Request to consider implementing a 20mph speed limit and/or a VAS outside of Stambridge Primary School.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC192016	NA	Average vehicles speeds are too high to warrant a 20mph speed limit.	R
14	Stambridge Road, Stambridge - 20mph outside Mini Marvels Pre- School	Request to consider implementing a 20mph speed limit outside of Mini Marvels Pre-School.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC192017	NA	Average vehicles speeds are too high to warrant a 20mph speed limit.	R
15	Little Wakering Road, Barling - Wig-Wag	Review the location of the wig-wag and determine a more suitable location.	Rochford South	Total scheme	LROC192018	£23,500	Validation results - Wig-Wag would need to be moved further into the verge and to the south-east, with a different connection to allow a replacement if required.	G

Total	Value of	£219,500
sc	nemes	£219,500

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
16	Coronation Close, Great Wakering - Grasscrete	Request to replace the grass verges with grasscrete.	Rochford South	Validation	LROC192020	£26,000	Validation results - Grasscrete could be installed.	G
17	Cheapside West, Rayleigh - Grasscrete	Request for grasscrete.	Rayleigh South	Total scheme	LROC192021	£8,000	Validation results - Grasscrete would encourage footway parking and obstruct route for children. One alternative option could be to install planters or bollards along the verge to protect it and increase pedestrian safety.	G
18	Thorpe Road, Hockley - Vehicular Access Restriction	Third Party request to remove highway rights and restrict access.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC192022	TBC	Awaiting update regarding land that would have to be surrendered by residents in order to accommodate a turning circle.	V
19	Hall Road, Rochford - Lane Layout	Review of current lane layout.	Rochford South	Validation	LROC192022	£6,500	Validation results - Road markings can be reinstated to emphasise lanes.	G
20	Upway, Rayleigh - Grasscrete	Request to install grasscrete.	Rayleigh North	Design	LROC202001	£4,000	Validation results - Recommendation is that the verges be hardened and converted to footway as this would provide a more substantial solution. Grasscrete does remain an option however. DYL to be included near the junction. Design Only is recommended.	G
21	Barling Road, Great Wakering - Speed Limit	Request to review the various speed limit changes between Rebels Lane and Shopland Road.	Rochford South	Validation	LROC202003	NA	Validation results - A blanket 30mph speed limit would not be adhered to, which could ultimately increase vehicles speeds in the existing 30mph sections.	R
22	Malting Villas Road, Rochford - One-Way System	Request to introduce a one-way system in Malting Villas Road, Rochford.	Rochford South	Validation	LROC202004	твс	Validation results - A one-way system would require a long diversion which residents would not adhere to, increasing the likelihood of a collision. The only option would be to restrict sections of parking along the southern edge of the carriageway.	R

Total Value of	£219,500
schemes	2219,300

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
23	Fountain Lane, Hockley - Unsuitable for HGVs sign	Request to install an 'Unsuitable for HGVs' sign.	Rochford West	Validation	LROC202005	TBC	In validation.	V
24	Stambridge Road, Stambridge - 20's Plenty (1 of 2)	Request for 20's Plenty outside of Stambridge Primary School.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC202010	NA	Validation results - Insufficient space for additional signage for traffic heading south toward the school.	R
25	Stambridge Road, Stambridge - 20's Plenty (2 of 2)	Request for 20's Plenty outside of Mini Marvels Pre-school.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC202007	NA	Validation results - A pre-school would not meet the requirements for a 20's Plenty. There is also minimal foot traffic leading to/from the pre-school.	R
26	Anchor Lane, Canewdon - 20's Plenty	Request for 20's Plenty Scheme.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC202008	£6,500	20's Plenty can be implemented.	G
27	Thorpe Road, Hockley / Main Road, Hawkwell - HGV Restriction	Request for No access for HGV sign at the junction with Thorpe Road and/or a physical restriction at the southern end.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC202009	TBC	In validation.	V
28	Paglesham Road, Paglesham - Ducks in Road Signs	Request for Ducks in Road signs.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC202011	ТВС	In validation.	V
29	Orchard Close, Great Wakering - Obstructive Parking	Request for improvements to prevent obstructive parking.	Rochford South	Validation	LROC202014	NA	Validation results - Only option is parking restrictions (SEPP).	R
30	Main Road, Hawkwell - Zebra Crossing Visibility	Request to review zebra crossing and the parking/entrance/exit to the nearby shops.	Rochford North	Design	LROC202013	£8,000	Validation results - Installation of task lighting and DYL may be feasible. Design is recommended.	G
31	High Road / Brook Road, Rayleigh Weir - Junction Improvements	Request to determine what improvements can be made to the junction to improve safety.	Rayleigh South	Validation	LROC202012	твс	In validation.	V

#### Walking

Total Value of £31,500 schemes

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
1	Pedestrian Crossing - London Road, Rayleigh	Request to look into possibility of introducing a crossing facility to provide pedestrian access to the BP garage / M&S.	Rayleigh South	Total Scheme	LROC183016	NA	Following the upgrade to the pedestrian refuge by the adjacent developer, the LHP can then consider upgrading it to a pedestrian crossing. HLO to progress this stage of the validation once developers works are complete.	V
2	Nelsons Gardens, Rayleigh - Footway	Request to look into options to improve the footway leading to the playing field.	Rayleigh North	Design	LROC193001	£4,000	Validation results - To prevent root damage from occurring in the near future, it is proposed that the footway be relayed with resin bound gravel. Design should be carried out, including consultation with RDC and ECC Arboriculture Team.	G
3	Ashingdon Road, Rochford - Zebra Crossing Upgrade	Zebra crossing upgrade to halo beacons.	Rochford South	Total scheme	LROC192012	£17,500	Validation results - Recommendation is to upgrade to halo beacons, carry out vegetation clearance and upgrade the eastern street light.	G
4	Anchor Lane, Canewdon - Pedestrian Crossing	Request to implement a pedestrian crossing.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC192012	TBC	PV2 will be carried out when current restrictions are lifted.	V
5	Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh - Pedestrian Crossing	Request to install a zebra crossing outside of the school.	Rayleigh South	Validation	LROC203001	£10,000	Validation results - Design can be carried out. Awaiting update on Design and TRO costs. CMA will be required.	G
6	Brinkworth Close, Hockley - Staggered Barrier	Request to install a staggered barrier at the eastern end of the alleyway leading from Willow Walk to Brinkworth Close.	Rochford West	Validation	LROC203002	TBC	In validation.	V
7	Main Road, Hockley - Signalised Crossing	Request for a pelican crossing at the existing zebra crossing west of the Co-Op.	Rochford North	Validation	LROC2030013	TBC	PV2 cannot be carried out until traffic volumes return to normal levels.	V

#### Public Rights of Way

Total Value of £39,000 schemes

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Parish	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
1	PROW 71, Rayleigh - Street Lighting	Request to provide street lighting along approx. 288m of PROW as this route provides links to various amienities, including schools, shops/supermarket, leisure centre etc.	Various	Rayleigh Town	Total scheme	LROC198002	£39,000	Validation results - Six or seven street lights (depending on design conclusion) could be installed along this PROW.	G
2	PROW 71, Rayleigh - Footway Improvements	Request to improve the condition of the footway to coincide with scheme LROC198002 (street lighting).	Various	Rayleigh Town	Total scheme	LROC208001	TBC	In validation.	v

# Passenger Transport

Total Value of £0

Ref	Scheme name	Description	Division	Scheme stage	Cost Code	Estimated Cost	Comments	RAG
1	Anchor Lane, Canewdon - Bus Shelter	Parish Council to fund installation of bus shelter.	Rochford South	Validation	LROC205001	TBC	Awaiting completion of County-wide bus shelter audit before validation can be carried out.	V